Remarks

It is believed that the foregoing claim amendments are properly submitted, following the telephone conversation with the Examiner and the subsequent receipt of two communications from the Examiner both mailed 8/20/2007, which emphasize the amended claims "should be based on the claims as filed on 1/22/04" and mentioned that "the PTO version (of) the claims of 1/22/04 is the same as applicant's version" which had just been FAXed to the Examiner; the foregoing is the substance of the telephone interview. It is Counsel's understanding that the National application filed 1/22/2004 included a Preliminary amendment of those claims, which include underlining and 'strike-outs' to identify the amendments made at that time, i.e. upon filing.

Thus, it is further Counsel's understanding, according to the Rules, that those so-marked claims constituted a first (Preliminary) amendment with appropriate underlining and 'strike-outs', and therefore subsequent amendments (as here) should not repeat those markings. If the Examiner disagrees with this understanding, or he believes that this is not the proper way to amend "previously amended" claims, it is requested that he explain exactly how he believes these claims should be amended under the Rules. In Counsel's view, the listing of claims as above is correct under the circumstances.

This amendment is being filed well within the time limit now set. It is assumed the Examiner will take this application up for further action as soon as his Docket permits, in view of the long (about 3 ½ years) pendancy of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph G. Nauman, Reg. No. 18,032

31 August 2007. 696 Renolda Woods Ct. Dayton OH 45429-3415

937/643-0980; FAX 937-643-0985; Email jgnpat@ameritech.net